

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/722,924	Applicant(s) HVARRE, JOHN NIKOLAJ	
	Examiner Ana M Fortuna	Art Unit 1723	

All Participants:

 (1) Ana M Fortuna.

 (2) Eugene Lieberstein.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5/12/04
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 2, and 9

Prior art documents discussed:

none.
Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: redundancy of claim 2, depending on claim 1 was mainly discussed. Cancellation of claim 1, and amendment of claim 2, as a more complete claim, as in the attached examiner's amendment was discussed with Mr. Lieberstein. Claim 9 is amended to correct the dependency, now from claim 2 and not from canceled claim 1..